

Name: _____ Score: _____ Teacher Name: _____

Response to Text Writing School-Wide Rubric

Expectations	Exceeds Standard 4	Meets Standard 3	Nearly Meets Standard 2	Below Standard 1
Establishes a Context <i>Must meet standard on this expectation to meet the overall standard</i>	<i>Skillfully</i> selects and summarizes key ideas to establish context appropriate to the audience by using tools such as precise language, descriptive language, authoritative voice and/or persona. Establishes an <i>insightful</i> and precise interpretive claim in the form of a thesis when responding to a given prompt.	Selects and summarizes key ideas to establish context appropriate to the audience by using tools such as precise language, descriptive language, authoritative voice and/or persona. <i>W.9-12.1&2; VOG--Comm.</i> *Establishes an interpretive claim/assertion in the form of a valid thesis when responding to a given prompt. <i>W.9-12.1&2; VOG-Critical</i>	Attempts to select and summarize key ideas to establish context but selections may be invalid, insufficient, or unclear. Attempts an interpretive claim in the form of a thesis but the thesis may not address the prompt or may be invalid or unclear.	Does not select and summarize key ideas to set context. Does not state a thesis.
Demonstrates Critical Thinking <i>Must meet standard on this expectation to meet the overall standard</i>	<i>Skillfully</i> fulfills all discipline-specific and task requirements. Interprets text by making valid inferences and drawing <i>complex</i> conclusions based on an <i>insightful</i> reading of the text(s). Substantiates interpretation and conclusions by selecting <i>the most</i> significant and relevant evidence appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic, accurately citing evidence as appropriate. Develops an explanation of complex ideas and concepts creating a unified whole by using the most accurate, significant, and relevant information to make complex connections and distinctions in order to convey understanding. Accurately uses general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases to enhance meaning	Fulfills all discipline-specific and task requirements. *Interprets text by making valid inferences and drawing credible claims/conclusions based on an accurate reading of the text(s). <i>W.9-10.1a,2a,b; RI.9-10.2-6; RL.9-10.1-6,&10; VOG-Critical</i> *Substantiates interpretation and conclusions by selecting significant, relevant, and sufficient evidence (e.g. facts, extended definitions, concrete details, strong and thorough textual evidence, and prior knowledge) appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic, accurately citing evidence when appropriate. <i>W.9-10.2b,8,9; RI.9-10.1; RL.9-10.1-3,&10; VOG-Critical</i> *Develops an explanation of complex ideas and concepts using accurate, significant, and relevant information to make connections and distinctions (i.e., prior knowledge, other texts, the broader world of ideas, etc) in order to convey understanding. <i>W.9-10.2a & b; VOG-Critical</i> Accurately uses general academic and/or domain-specific language that clarifies and supports purpose. <i>L.9-12.6</i>	Attempts to fulfill all discipline-specific and task requirements, but one element may be inaccurate or incomplete. Attempts to interpret text by making inferences and conclusions, but interpretation may be inaccurate, incomplete, or cause confusion. Attempts to substantiate interpretation and conclusions, but some evidence lacks significance, relevance, or sufficiency. Does not cite some evidence, or cites evidence inaccurately and/or inappropriately Attempts to develop an explanation of ideas, but explanation, information, connections, or distinctions are inaccurate, insufficient or incomplete. Attempts to use general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases, but some usage is inaccurate or inappropriate.	Does not fulfill several discipline-specific and task requirements. Little or no interpretation of the reading. Interpretation and conclusions are not supported with evidence. Does not cite evidence. Most of the explanation lacks logic, or there is little or no attempt to explain. Provides inaccurate information. Does not use general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases.
Produces Clear and Coherent Writing	Uses a sophisticated organizational structure that enhances the response. Establishes and consistently maintains a formal style and objective tone while attending to the discipline-specific writing norms and conventions.	Constructs and expresses well-developed ideas in a clear and logically organized manner appropriate to task, purpose, and audience with a coherent opening, body, transitions, and a conclusion that follows from and supports the argument presented. <i>W.9-12.1-4; VOG-Comm</i> Establishes a formal style and objective tone while attending to the discipline-specific writing norms and conventions. <i>W.9-12.1d; 2e</i>	Provides some elements of organization and/or organization causes confusion. Attempts to establish a formal style and objective tone, but one element is insufficient.	Little evidence of organization. Does not establish either a formal style or an objective tone.
Demonstrates Command of Written Language Conventions	Demonstrates consistent control of grammar, usage, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling.	Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, spelling, and varied sentence construction. <i>L.9-12.1,2</i> Occasional errors do not interfere with meaning (for on-demand writing).	Demonstrates some control of usage, grammar, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling. Errors interfere with meaning.	Demonstrates little control of usage, grammar, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling. Errors inhibit meaning.